File Reniber 2003-1869
No. 06-04



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

# NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

E-13001

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #193-86.

# PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Rezone and Special Development Permit by Greg Mussallem.

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 16,213 square-foot site located at **563 Alberta Avenue** (near Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd) in an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 323-30-025)

- Special Development Permit to allow the construction of four new single-family homes, and
- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) to R-2/PD (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District.

# WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on **Monday, February 27, 2006**. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a **Negative Declaration** will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

# **HEARING INFORMATION:**

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, February 27, 2006 at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

#### TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On February 1, 2006

Signed:

Andrew Miner, Principal Planner



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707 Page of File Number: 2005-1009
No. 06-04

E-18001

# **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

This **Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #193-86.

## PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Rezone and Special Development Permit by Greg Mussallem.

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 16,213 square-foot site located at **563 Alberta Avenue** (near Sunnyvale-Saratoga Rd) in an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 323-30-025)

- Special Development Permit to allow the construction of four new single-family homes, and
- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) to R-2/PD (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District.

## FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter, information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Finding" that the above determination is based on the fact that the use is in keeping with not in conflict with the adopted General Plan, The Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Ordinance. That the use would be in keeping with the character of the proposed Zoning District and that sufficient environmental controls are incorporated in the Zoning and Subdivision regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect by any proposed use, in the case of a PD overlay or any application for a (Use Permit)(SDP) and arc. con. by city. No endangered species are known to depend on this site for habitat.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may/be appealed.

Circulated On _	February 1, 2006	Signed: Light
		Andrew Miner, Principal Planner
Adopted On _		Verified:
	,	Andrew Miner Principal Planner

Page of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ File Number: 2005-1009



No. 06-04

# California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

E-13001

De Minimis Impact Finding

# PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The **Rezone** and **Special Development Permit** is located on **563 Alberta Avenue**, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 323-30-025)

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Application for related proposals on a 16,213 square-foot site.

- Special Development Permit to allow the construction of four new single-family homes, and
- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) to R-2/PD (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District.

# FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

# **CERTIFICATION:**

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.

Andrew Miner

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: January 27, 2006

DFG: 3/94 Planner

ATTACHMENT

Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue

Applicant: Greg Mussallem

E-13001

Project Title	Proposed construction of 4 single family homes
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Ryan M. Kuchenig
Phone Number	408-730-7431
Project Location	563 Alberta Drive
Project Sponsor's Name	Greg Mussallem
Address	P.O. Box 8305 San Jose, CA 95155
Zoning	R2 (Proposed R2/PD)
General Plan	Residential Low-Medium Density
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

**Description of the Project:** The applicant proposes a subdivide an existing 16,213 square foot lot into four lots and construct four new single family homes. The current duplex structure will be demolished. In addition to the Special Development Permit, the project requires a Re-Zone application for the site from R-2 (Residential Low Medium Density) to R-2 (Residential Low Medium Density / Planned Development). A Parcel Map application has not been submitted at this time, but is required. If the Special Development Permit and Rezone application is approved, an approved Parcel Map is required prior to redevelopment of the site.

# Surrounding Uses and Setting:

Single-family homes are located to the north and west of the site. A fourplex lies to the east and duplexes are located across Alberta Avenue to the south.

ATTACHMENT C
Page S of 18

Project Number: 2005-1009
Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue
Applicant: Greg Mussallem

E-15001

## **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 6. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- 8. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- 9. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a

En	viron	mental	Check	list Form
_,,	vii Oii	IIIGIILAI	Ulicun	nali onn

☐ Aesthetics

E-13001

ATI	<b>TACHMEN</b>	IT.	<u>C</u>
Page		f	18

Project Number: 2005-1009 Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue

**Public Services** 

Applicant: Greg Mussallem

E-13001

previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Hazards & Hazardous

	Materi	als						
Agricultural Resources		ogy/Water		Recreation				
☐ Air Quality		Jse/Planning		Transportation/T	raffic			
☐ Biological Resources	☐ Minera	al Resources		Utilities/Service				
Cultural Resources	☐ Noise							
☐ Geology/Soils	☐ Popula	ation/Housing		Significance				
<b>DETERMINATION: (To be com</b> On the basis of this initial evalua		Lead Agency)						
I find that the proposed project COULI DECLARATION will be prepared.	D NOT have a sigr	nificant effect on the e	environment	, and a NEGATIVE	$\boxtimes$			
I find that although the proposed proje a significant effect in this case becaus project proponent. A MITIGATED NE	e revisions in the	oroject have been ma	de by or ag					
I find that the proposed project MAY h		ffect on the environm	ent, and an					
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.								
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.								
Simple III		·		anuary 24, 2006				
Signature				ate				

ATTACHMENT C
Page of 18

Project Number: 2005-1009
Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue
Applicant: Greg Mussallem

E-13001

Ryan i	M. Kuchenig			City o	of Sunnyvale	
Printed	d Name			For <i>(I</i>	Lead Agency	')
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
1. A	ESTHETICS. Would the project:					
а	. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				$\boxtimes$	2, 94
b	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				$\boxtimes$	2, 94
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				$\boxtimes$	2, 94, 101
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?					2, 94
si ar po m	IR QUALITY: Where available, the gnificance criteria established by the oplicable air quality management or air ollution control district may be relied upon to ake the following determinations. Would the oject:					
a.	the applicable air quality plan?					3, 97, 100, 111
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.				$\boxtimes$	3, 97, 100, 111
C.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					3, 96, 97, 100, 111
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					62. 63. 111. 112
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				$\boxtimes$	111. 112
3. BI	OLOGICAL RESOURCES:					
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations.					2, 94, 111, 112, 109

ATTACHMENT C

Environmental Checklist Form E = 13001

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
		or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
	b.	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	C.	Have a substantial adverse effect on . federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				$\boxtimes$	2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	е.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					41, 94, 111, 112.
	f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					2, 41,94, 111, 112
4.	CU	LTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					· .
	a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?					10, 42, 60, 61, 94, 111
	b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5				$\boxtimes$	10, 42, 94
	C.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				$\boxtimes$	10, 42, 94, 111
	d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				$\boxtimes$	2, 111, 112
		ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the lect:					

E-13001

ATTACHMENT C
Page of 18

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	Physically divide an established community?				$\boxtimes$	2, 11, 12, 21, 28
	b. Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	- 🗆				See Discussion
	c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?					2, 41, 94, 111
6.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					2, 94
	<ul> <li>Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$	2, 94
7.	NOISE. Would the project result in:					
	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				$\boxtimes$	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
-	c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	d. A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				$\boxtimes$	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				$\boxtimes$	2, 11, 111, 112

E-13001

ATTACHMENT C
Page O of 8
Project Number: 2005-1009

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					2, 11, 111, 112
·	c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					2, 11, 111, 112
	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	•				
	a. Schools?					2, 111, 112
	b. Police protection?		: []			26, 65, 66, 103, 104
	c. Fire protection?				$\boxtimes$	UFC/ UBC/ SMC
	d. Parks?					2, 111, 112
	e. Other services?				$\boxtimes$	26, 65, 66, 103, 104
10.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					2, 10, 26, 42, 59, 60, 61, 111, 112

E-13001

ATTACHMENT C
Page 1 of 18
Project Number: 2005-1009

	······································					
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				$\boxtimes$	1, 2, 111, 112
	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					111, 112
11. GEC	DLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:		<u>.</u>			UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
	iv) Landslides?				$\boxtimes$	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
1	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral				$\boxtimes$	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC

ATT	ACHM	ENT	$\mathcal{C}$
	17		18

E-13001

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?					
d.	Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				$\boxtimes$	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				$\boxtimes$	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
l	ILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would project:					
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 112
b.	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				$\boxtimes$	2, 22, 90, 111, 112

E-13001

ATTAC	HMEN	T
Page	$3_{of}$	18

# Environmental Checklist Form

	<del></del>				
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?					2, 22, 90, 111, 112
13. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:					
a. Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?			<u> </u>		See Disc.
<ul> <li>Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?</li> </ul>					2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					2, 111, 112, 113
<ul> <li>d. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$	2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?		<u>.</u>			2, 111, 112, 113
f. Result in inadequate parking capacity?				$\boxtimes$	2,37,111
<ul> <li>g. Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</li> </ul>					2, 12, 81, 111, 112
14. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project?					
Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter				$\boxtimes$	UFC, UBC, SVMC

E-13001

ATTACHMENT C
Page 14 of 18

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	ile of an exiting or proposed school?					
lis pt 65 siq er	e located on a site which is included on a sit of hazardous materials sites compiled ursuant to Government Code Section 5962.5 and, as a result would it create a gnificant hazard to the public or the nvironment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
us be air pr	or a project located within an airport land se plan or, where such a plan has not sen adopted, within two miles of a public rport or public use airport, would the oject result in a safety hazard for people siding or working in the project area?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
air ha	or a project within the vicinity of a private retrip, would the project result in a safety zard for people residing or working in the oject area?				$\boxtimes$	UFC, UBC, SVMC
int res	pair implementation of, or physically erfere with an adopted emergency sponse plan or emergency evacuation an?				<u></u>	UFC, UBC, SVMC
ris wil are	pose people or structures to a significant k of loss, injury or death involving dland fires, including where wildlands adjacent to urbanized areas or where sidences are intermixed with wildlands					UFC, UBC, SVMC
15. RECREATION						
exi oth sul	ould the project increase the use of isting neighborhood or regional parks or ner recreational facilities such that bstantial physical deterioration of the cility would occur or be accelerated?					2, 18, 111, 112
fac exp mig	pes the project include recreational cilities or require the construction or pansion of recreational facilities which ght have an adverse physical effect on a environment?					2, 18, 111, 112
whethe significa may ref Evaluat prepare Conser	ULTURE RESOURCES: In determining in impacts to agricultural resources are ant environmental effects, lead agencies for to the California Agricultural Land tion and Site Assessment Model (1997) and by the California Department of evation as an optional model to use in ing impacts on agriculture and farmland.	·		•		

E-13001

ATTACHMENT C
Page S of 18

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		·
			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	W	ould the project:					
	a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nonagricultural use?					94
0	b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				$\square$	94
	C.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use					94
17.		DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would project:		·			
	a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
	b.	Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
	C.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation onor off-site?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
	d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
	e.	Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25, 111, 112

Envivo		Checklist	F
CIIVITO	umemai	GHECKHST	rnrm.

ATTACHMENT C

Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue
Applicant: Greg Mussallem

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	runoff?					
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25 111, 112
g.	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard  Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			-		2, 24, 25 <del>1</del> 11, 112
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					2; 24, 25 111, 112
i,	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$	2, 24, 25, 111, 112

# Discussion:

**5.b. LAND USE AND PLANNING:** The proposed use is not permitted as a matter of right within the R-2 Zoning District. Approval of a Rezone application(R-2/PD) and Special Development Permit is required in order to allow the deviation of lot size. A Parcel Map application is also required but has not been filed at this time.

**13.a. TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC:** The proposed use may increase traffic to the site. The site will be developed with a net increase of two new residential units. As proposed, the site provides adequate parking for the new single family homes.

Ryan M. Kuchenig	01/24/2006
Completed By	Date

E - 1 3 0 Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue Applicant: Greg Mussallem

## City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- 2. Map
- 3. Air Quality Sub-Element
- 4. Community Design Sub-Element
- 5. Community Participation Sub-Element
- Cultural Arts Sub-Element 6.
- 7. **Executive Summary**
- Fire Services Sub-Element 8.
- Fiscal Sub-Element 9.
- 10. Heritage Preservation Sub-Element
- 11. -Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-Element
- 12. Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 13. Law Enforcement Sub-Element
- Legislative Management Sub-Element 14.
- 15. Library Sub-Element
- 16. Noise Sub-Element
- 17. Open Space Sub-Element.
- 18. Recreation Sub-Element
- 19. Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- 20. Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element
- 21. Socio-Economic Sub-Element
- 22. Solid Waste Management Sub-Element
- 23. Support Services Sub-Element
- 24. Surface Run-off Sub-Element
- 25. Water Resources Sub-Element

#### 26. City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:

- 27. Chapter 10
- Zoning Map 28.
- 29. Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District 30.
- 31. Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts
- Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts 32.
- 33.
- Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts 34. Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts
- 35. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- 36. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan
- 37. Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading
- 38. Chapter 19.56. Solar Access
- 39. Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing
- 40. Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home Parks to Other Uses
- 41. Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation
- Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation 42.

#### Specific Plans

- 43. El Camino Real Precise Plan
- 44. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- 45. Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan
- 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan 46.
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan 47.

#### **Environmental Impact Reports**

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report 48.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental 49. Impact Report
- 50. Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- 51. Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement

- Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- 52. Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- 53. Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- 54. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report

#### Maps

- 55. City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- 56. Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- 57. Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- 58. Utility Maps (50 scale)

#### Lists/Inventories

- 59. Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- 60. Heritage Landmark Designation List
- 61. Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale 63.

#### Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- 64. Subdivision Map Act
- Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per 65. SMC adoption
- National Fire Code (National Fire Protection 66. Association)
- Title 19 California Administrative Code 67.
- California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill) 68.
- California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill) 69.
- Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act 70. (SARA) Title III

#### Transportation

- 71. California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic
- 73. California Department of Transportation Standard
- 74. California Department of Transportation Standard Specification
- 75. Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation
- 76. Institute of Transportation Engineers Transportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook
- 77. U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- 78. California Vehicle Code
- 79. Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. Pegnataro
- 80. Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- 81. Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan

# ATTACHMENT C Page 18 of 18

# Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2005-1009
Project Address: 563 Alberta Avenue
Applicant: Greg Mussallem

82. Santa Clara County Transportation Plan

83. Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division

84. Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan

85. Bicycle Plan

#### Public Works

- 86. Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- 87. Storm Drain Master Plan
- 88. Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- 89. Water Master Plan -
- 90. Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- 91. Geotechnical Investigation Reports
- 92. Engineering Division Project Files
- 93. Subdivision and Parcel Map Files

#### Miscellaneous

- 94. Field Inspection
- 95. Environmental Information Form
- 96. Annual Summary of Containment Excesses (BAAQMD)
- 97. Current Air Quality Data
- 98. Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

- Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
   Population Projections
- 100. Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- 101. City-wide Design Guidelines
- 102. Industrial Design Guidelines

## **Building Safety**

- 103. Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)
- 104. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)
- Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Plumbing Code)
- Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the California Mechanical Code)
- National Electrical Code (Including California Electrical Code)
- 108. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

#### Additional References

- 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists
- 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis
- 111. Project Description
- 112. Project Development Plans
- 113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration